

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,896	07/03/2003	Donald Alan Bistline	Don1	2965	
7590 10/26/2004			EXAMINER		
Thomas M. Thibault 11340 Vista Sorrento Pkwy #306 San Diego, CA 92130			GALL, LLOYD A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
22.1 2 1080, 011			3676		
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	9			
		10/613,8	396	BISTLINE, DONAL	_D ALAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Lloyd A.	Gall	3676				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ad	dress			
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (opplication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
2a)		2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restrictive ion Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to oath or declaration is objected to a control of the coath or declaration is objected to a control of the	ion and/or election received and accepted or bection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	equirement. o) objected to by the beheld in abeyance. ired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF	` '			
					0 102.			
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations of the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents have be on all Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applic nents have been rece ule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage			
Attachmen —	it(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	OTO 040\	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			nal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,896

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-12, drawn to a mounting apparatus, classified in class 70, subclass 58.
- II. Claims 13-16, drawn to a method of securing an object to a vehicle, classified in class 70, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as permanently attaching a mating unit to a mounting bracket.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1.) The species of figs. 1-5; 2.) The species of figs. 6-9; and 3.) The species of figs. 13a and 13b.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,896

Art Unit: 3676

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Thibault on October 20, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,896

Art Unit: 3676

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 703-308-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

re re-

October 20, 2004

Lloyd A. Gall Primary Examiner